J. Chemtracks 23(1&2) 201-206, 2021

Abstracted / Indexed in
A division of the American Chemical Society
Chemical Abstract U.S.A.

ISSN 0973-239X
CODEN:JCOHF7
IMPACT FACTOR : 4.950

In vitro biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 exposed to N-ethylideneethylenediamine

Ram Suchit Prasad Singh[1], Md. Tanveer Alam[2] Vinod Mandal[3] and Renu Bala[4]

[1]Chemical Research Laboratory, Deparment of Chemistry, M.G. College, Gaya, Bihar, India
[2]Department of Chemistry, (Science and Technology) Government Engineering College, Arwal
[3]Department of Chemistry, A.N. College, Patna
[4]Department of Chemistry, College of Commerce, Arts and Science, Patna

Manuscript received online 16 April 2021, accepted on 25 June 2021

Abstract: The efficacy of N-ethylideneethylene-diamine on biotic production of H_3Cit by fungal strains such as *Aspergillus fischeri* RS – 119, *Aspergillus foetidus* RS–215, *Aspergillus aculeatus* RS-313, *Aspergillus carbonarius* RS-418 and *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 has been assessed. It has been observed that the fungal strain *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 has been found most significant and effective for the citric acid fermentation process. It has been found that the compound, i.e.,N-ethylideneethylenediamine has stimulatory effect on biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 and enhances the yield of citric acid to an extent of 22.852% higher in comparison to control fermenter flasks, i.e., 4.321g/100 ml under the optimized conditions.

(**Keywords:**Citric acid fermentation, N-ethylideneethyl enediamine and *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519)

Introduction

A large variety of active compounds[1,2] such as peroxides, caffeine, gaseous butadiene, ethylene and thiourea causes mutation in different microbes. Peroxides and epoxides as mutagenic chemicals were also reported by a group of workers[3,4], as a very specific mutagens. Nisihi *et al*[5] and others[6-16] have worked on different mutagenic chemicals and some mutagens on different microorganisms on fermentation process such as N-methyl-N-nitroso urea, EMS, or x-rays to induce the microbial process and achieve the improved yields. Thus, from the above brief review it is evident that chemical mutagens are required for genetic manupulation and exploitation specially for citric acid fermentation and in view of this the authors have studied the influence of N-methyl hydroxylamine on biotic production of citric acid.

Experimental

The influence of N-ethylideneethylene-diamine on biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519.



Aspergillus wentii

The composition of the production medium for biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 has been prepared as follows: Molasses:22%(w/v), NH_4NO_3: 0.66% , KH_2PO_4 : 0.66%, $MgSO_4.7H_2O$:0.66%, pH : 2.2 The pH of the production medium was adjusted to 2.2 by adding requisite amount of KCl-HCl buffer solution, and this pH was also ascertained by a pH meter. The above composition medium represents volume of a fermenter flask, i.e., "100ml" biotic production of citric acid by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519. Now, the same production medium for biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 was prepared for 99-fermenter flask, i. e; each contained '100ml'

Table - 1
***In vitro* biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 exposed to N-ethylideneethylenediamine**

Concentration of mutagen used A x 1/100000/ M	Incubation Period in days	Yield of citric acid* in g/100 ml	Molasses* left Unfermented in g/100 ml	% of citric acid increased after 11 days
Control	11	7.321	2.901	–
0.1 × 1/100000M	11	7.326	2.896	+0.068%
0.2 × 1/100000M	11	7.331	2.891	+0.136%
0.3 × 1/100000M	11	7.335	2.886	+0.191%
0.4 × 1/100000M	11	7.339	2.883	+0.245%
0.5 × 1/100000M	11	7.343	2.879	+0.300%
0.6 × 1/100000M	11	7.347	2.875	+0.355%
0.7 × 1/100000M	11	7.350	2.872	+0.396%
0.8 × 1/100000M**	11	7.355	2.867	+0.464%
0.9 × 1/100000M	11	7.359	2.863	+0.519%
1.0×1/100000M	11	7.363	2.856	+0.573%
1.1×1/100000M	11	7.369	2.855	+0.655%
1.2×1/100000M	11	7.374	2.846	+0.723%
1.3×1/100000M	11	7.379	2.843	+0.792%
1.4×1/100000M	11	7.384	3.839	+0.860%
1.5×1/100000M	11	7.389	2.833	+0.928%
1.6×1/100000M	11	7.410	2.813	+1.215%
1.7×1/100000M	11	7.420	2.805	+1.352%
1.8×1/100000M	11	7.431	2.792	+1.502%
1.9×1/100000M	11	7.442	2.781	+1.652%
2.0×1/100000M	11	7.456	2.769	+1.844%
2.1×1/100000M	11	7.473	2.749	+2.076%
2.2×1/100000M	11	7.486	2.738	+2.253%
2.3×1/100000M	11	7.496	2.728	+2.390%
2.4×1/100000M	11	7.520	2.705	+2.718%
2.5×1/100000M	11	7.539	2.686	+2.977%
2.6×1/100000M	11	7.506	2.666	+3.278%
2.7×1/100000M	11	7.590	2.635	+3.674%
2.8×1/100000M	11	7.618	2.608	+4.056%
2.9×1/100000M	11	7.636	2.589	+4.302%
3.0×1/100000M	11	7.659	2.567	+4.615%

Contd..

Concentration of mutagen used A x 1/100000/ M	Incubation Period in days	Yield of citric acid* in g/100 ml	Molasses* left Unfermented in g/100 ml	% of citric acid increased after 11 days
3.1×1/100000M	11	7.694	2.531	+5.094%
3.2×1/100000M	11	7.729	2.498	+5.573%
3.3×1/100000M	11	7.754	2.473	+5.914%
3.4×1/100000M	11	7.789	2.437	+6.392%
3.5×1/100000M	11	7.811	2.416	+6.693%
3.6×1/100000M	11	7.843	2.383	+7.130%
3.7×1/100000M	11	7.873	2.354	+7.539%
3.8×1/100000M	11	7.896	2.330	+7.854%
3.9×1/100000M	11	7.930	2.296	+8.318%
4.0×1/100000M	11	7.970	2.253	+8.864%
4.1×1/100000M	11	8.009	2.213	+9.397%
4.2×1/100000M	11	8.115	2.108	+10.845%
4.3×1/100000M	11	8.151	2.071	+11.337%
4.4×1/100000M	11	8.196	2.026	+11.951%
4.5×1/100000M	11	8.219	2.003	+12.266%
4.6×1/100000M	11	8.239	1.984	+12.539%
4.7×1/100000M	11	8.269	1.954	+12.949%
4.8×1/100000M	11	8.280	1.942	+13.099%
4.9×1/100000M	11	8.301	1.922	+13.386%
5.0×1/100000M	11	8.323	1.899	+13.686%
5.1×1/100000M	11	8.345	1.876	+13.987%
5.2×1/100000M	11	8.366	1.856	+14.274%
5.3×1/100000M	11	8.309	1.829	+14.642%
5.4×1/100000M	11	8.415	1.808	+14.643%
5.5×1/100000M	11	8.432	1.792	+15.175%
5.6×1/100000M	11	8.453	1.769	+15.462%
5.7×1/100000M	11	8.476	1.748	+15.776%
5.8×1/100000M	11	8.493	1.729	+16.008%
5.9×1/100000M	11	8.519	1.705	+16.363%
6.0×1/100000M	11	8.539	1.685	+16.637%
6.1×1/100000M	11	8.580	1.642	+17.197%
6.2×1/100000M	11	8.599	1.624	+17.456%
6.3×1/100000M	11	8.630	1.592	+17.880%
6.4×1/100000M	11	8.648	1.578	+18.125%
6.5×1/100000M	11	8.669	1.558	+14.412%
6.6×1/100000M	11	8.691	1.535	+18.713%
6.7×1/100000M	11	8.721	1.508	+19.123%
6.8×1/100000M	11	8.739	1.488	+19.368%
6.9×1/100000M	11	8.759	1.466	+19.642%
7.0×1/100000M	11	8.786	1.442	+20.010%

Contd..

Concentration of mutagen used A x 1/100000/ M	Incubation Period in days	Yield of citric acid* in g/100 ml	Molasses* left Unfermented in g/100 ml	% of citric acid increased after 11 days
7.1×1/100000M	11	8.799	1.427	+20.188%
7.2×1/100000M	11	8.820	1.409	+20.475%
7.3×1/100000M	11	8.839	1.392	+20.734%
7.4×1/100000M	11	8.859	1.371	+21.008%
7.5×1/100000M	11	8.872	1.357	+21.185%
7.6×1/100000M	11	8.886	1.343	+21.376%
7.7×1/100000M	11	8.899	1.330	+21.554%
7.8×1/100000M	11	8.913	1.316	+21.745%
7.9×1/100000M	11	8.929	1.302	+21.964%
8.0×1/100000M	11	8.942	1.289	+22.141%
8.1×1/100000M	11	8.960	1.271	+22.387%
8.2×1/100000M	11	8.981	1.249	+22.674%
8.3×1/100000M**	11	8.994***	1.236	+22.852%
8.4×1/100000M	11	8.965	1.265	+22.455%
8.5×1/100000M	11	8.962	1.299	+22.005%
8.6×1/100000M	11	8.918	1.312	+21.813%
8.7×1/100000M	11	8.892	1.139	+21.458%
8.8×1/100000M	11	8.869	1.362	+21.144%
8.9×1/100000M	11	8.770	1.461	+19.792%
9.0×1/100000M	11	8.569	1.663	+17.046%
9.1×1/100000M	11	8.252	1.978	+12.716%
9.2×1/100000M	11	8.112	2.180	+10.804%
9.3×1/100000M	11	8.023	2.208	+9.588%
9.4×1/100000M	11	8.001	2.229	+9.288%
9.5×1/100000M	11	7.859	2.372	+7.348%
9.6×1/100000M	11	7.829	2.401	+6.938%
9.7×1/100000M	11	7.631	2.599	+4.234%
9.8×1/100000M	11	7.526	2.703	+2.800%
9.9×1/100000M	11	7.463	2.767	+1.939%
10.0×1/100000M	11	7.389	2.841	+0.928%

* Each value represents mean of three trials ** Optimum concentration of mutagen used
*** Optimum yield of citric acid (+) values indicate % increase in the yield of citric acid after 11 days. Experimental deviation (±) 1.5-3%

of production medium.

The above 99-fermenter flasks were then arranged to 11-sets each comprising of 9-fermenter flasks. Each set was then rearranged in 3-subsets, each consisting of 3-fermenter flasks. The remaining 9-fermenter flasks out of 99-fermenter flasks were kept as control and these were also rearranged in 3-subsets each consisting of 3-fermenter flasks.

After preparing the above sets of fermentor flasks M/100000 solution 0.1 ml to 10 ml of ethanesufonyl chloride was prepared and from the above mutagen solution was added to the

fermentation flasks of above 1st to 10th sets respectively. The control fermentor flasks contained no mutagen.

Now, the total volume in each fermentor flasks was made upto 100 ml by adding requisite amount of distilled water. Thus, the molar concentration of ethanesufonyl chloride in 1st,2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th subsets were approximately as given below :
A x 1/100000M
0.1x1/100000M to 10.0×1/100000M respectively.
Where : A = amount of mutagen
in ml, i.e. 0.1ml ..... to 10 ml.
1/100000M =Molarity of the mutagen solution

The above fermentor flasks were then sterilized, cooled inoculated, incubated at 32^{0}C and analysed after 9, 11 and 13 days for citric acid[17] formed and molasses[18] left unfermented.

Results and Discussion

***In vitro* biotic production of H_3Cit by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 exposed to N-ethylideneethyl-enediamine**

The data recorded in the table-1 shows that the chemical mutagen, i.e; N-ethylideneethyl-enediamine has also been found stimulatory for the biotic production of citric acid by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 at all its molar concentrations, i.e; from 0.1×1/100000 M to 10.0 x 1/100000 M in two phases. In the first phase the molar concentration of chemical mutagens i.e; N-ethylideneethyl-enediamine from 0.1 × 1/100000 M to 8.3 x 1/100000 M is stimulatory and the biotic production of citric acid gradually increases till a maximum value is reached at 8.3 x 1/100000 M, i.e; 8.994g/100ml in 11 days of optimum incubation period which is 22.852% higher in comparison to control, i.e; 7.321g/100ml.

In the second phase of chemical mutagenic effect, i.e., at 8.4 x 1/100000 M and onwards the molar concentration of N-ethylideneethyl- enediamine on biotic production of citric acid by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 has been found increased but in lesser quantum in the presence of N-ethylideneethylenediamine So, the gradual addition of N-ethylideneethylenediamine after the optimum concentration of 8.3 x 1/1000M gradually slows down the biotic production of citric acid by *Aspergillus wentii* RS- 519 and the part of % increase at 8.4 x 1/100000M to 10.0 x 1/100000M molar concentration of N-ethylideneethylenediamine is in decreasing order.

References

1. S. Tercemoto, M. Moria, K. K ato, H. Tezuka, S. Nakamura, A. Shingu, and Y. Shirasu *Mutat. Res.* **56 (2),** 121 (1979)
2. C De Meester , F Ponclet, M. Roberfroid and M. Nercier, *Toxicol Let*. **6**(3) 125-130 (1980)
3. G. Klmark and N.H. Giles, *Genetics*, **40,** 890 (1955)
4. R.Kumari, S. Singh and S. P. Singh *J. Chemtracks* 18(2), 329 (2016).
5. Sudhanshu Rajak, Firdous Qamar and S. P. Singh *J. Chemtracks* **20**(1&2) 73-78, 2018
6. J. Mahato and S. K. Mahato *J. Chemtracks* **19**(1) 107 (2017)
7. Sudhanshu Rajak, *J. Chemtracks* **22**(1&2) 189 (2020)
8. Hare Krishna Kumar kishore *J. Chemtracks* **22**(1&2) 355 (2020)
8. F. M. Dickley, G.H., Clealand, C. Lotz. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sc. U.S. 35, 581 (1949)
9. A. Nishi, A. Yoshida, M. Moir and N. Sugano *Phytochem* **13**, 1653 (1953)
10. U. S. Singh Ph.D. Thesis Chemistry, Magadh Univ. Bodh Gaya pp 95 (1989)
11. J.W. Widhalm Molecular Genetics Modification of Eucaryotes, P. 57, Academic Press, New York (1977)

12. A. J. Muller, and R. Grae *Int. Bot. Congr. Abst.* **12,** 304 (1975)
13. Z. R. Sung, *Genetics* **84**, 51 (1976)
14. O. Schieder, *Mol. Gen. Genet* **149**, 251 (1976)
15. S.K. Mahna *Indian Jour. Expt. Biol* **22** (6) 338 (1984)
16. I.A.Khan *Bot Bull Acad Sci.* **25(1)**, 103 (1984)
17. J.R. Marrier and M. Boulet, *J. Dairy Science* **41**, 1683 (1983)
18. M. Dubois, K.A. Gills, J. K. Hamilton, P.A/ Robers and F. Smith, *Anchal Chem.* **28**, 350 (1956)